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INTRODUCTORY MATTERS

Introduction

“The Greek language is the beautiful flower, the elegant jewel, the most finished masterpiece of Indo-Germanic thought. Indeed, the syntax of biblical Greek is organized on the most perfect system. . . . [T]he Greek language wrestles with the mind, it parries and thrusts, it conquers as an armed host.”¹ The elegance and complexities of the biblical Greek language are manifold and have yet to be unanimously agreed upon. Thus, the study of the New Testament (NT) is one that all exegetes and biblical interpreters must labor diligently so as to ascertain the proper meaning and application of a particular passage upon interpreters today.

Need for the Paper

This paper seeks to fill in some gaps relating to the book of Revelation with the application of discourse analysis, verbal aspect, and the role of the constituents to a particular passage. It seems that many have omitted the scrutinized study of Revelation because of its complexities and symbolism. Yet, the newer methods of NT exegesis must also be applied to Revelation as they have been to books and passages in other genres in the NT. The application of these NT exegetical disciplines to the present test case in Revelation 20 will hopefully shed light on the passage itself and how the various sciences contribute to the field of NT exegesis and, specifically, to the book of Revelation.

Purpose of the Paper

This paper will provide a test case in applying some of the newer methods of exegesis by applying them to Revelation 20:1–15. Hopefully the explanation, the process, and the results shed light on these various aspects of study and how they relate to John’s Apocalypse.

VERBAL ASPECT OF REVELATION 20:1–15

Definition of Verbal Aspect

If NT exegesis “refers to the historical investigation into the meaning of the biblical text” then it behooves interpreters to understand how to best go about finding the authorial intent of that passage. “Through exegesis, the interpreter reads, examines, and listens to the words of the text as a medium communicating the author’s message.” It is that message of the author and how he expressed that message in the Greek language that the interpreter endeavors to find. Scholars recognize that the study of the Greek of the NT has arrested the attention of many and because of thorough study primarily in the last few decades grammar

---

2 Gordon D. Fee, New Testament Exegesis: A Handbook for Students and Pastors, 3rd ed. (Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2002), 1. Similarly, Cotterell and Turner define exegesis as “the ‘bringing to expression of the interpreter’s understanding of the author’s intended meaning (or, more accurately, the ‘discourse meaning’) of a text” (Linguistics and Biblical Interpretation [Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1989], 77, emphasis original). Porter and Clarke contrariwise surmise that “exegesis is no one single thing, but rather a complex and multifaceted collection of disciplines. The approach or orientation one takes to exegesis, which is most often determined by the particular interests of the interpreter and the questions brought to the text, may only constitute one part of the whole exegetical task” (Stanley E. Porter and Kent D. Clarke, “What is Exegesis? An Analysis of Various Definitions,” in Handbook to Exegesis of the New Testament, ed. by Stanley E. Porter (Leiden: Brill, 2002), 17–18.

3 J. Brown writes: “meaning is the communicative intention of the author, which has been inscribed in the text and addressed to the intended audience for purposes of engagement. The author’s communicative act when writing a text is an act of intention. Because the concept of ‘authorial intention’ has been much maligned in recent years, I specify the kind of intention I mean: not simply what an author hopes to communicate (intention as wish or motive) but what an author actually does communicate by intention in a text (communicative intention)” (Jeannine K. Brown, Scripture as Communication: Introducing Biblical Hermeneutics [Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2007], 22).

4 John H. Hays and Carl R. Holladay, Biblical Exegesis: A Beginner’s Handbook, 3rd ed. (Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007), 139. They continue: “the text serves as a vehicle for expressing the author’s thoughts. The exegete asks, ‘What did the author intended to say to the reader(s) through the text?’ The text is the ‘signal’ through which the author’s thoughts are transmitted to the reader. The text may lie in the forefront, but ultimately the reader’s task is to ‘get through’ or ‘get behind’ the text to the author’s intended message” (ibid).
of the Greek verb of the NT expressed what scholars call “aspect” as opposed to “time.” For instance, R. Young writes: “There is good support for the contention that the morphological features associated with Greek tense indicate only aspect, not time, and that time is established by the context rather than grammatical form.”5 Hence, a definition of verbal aspect6 must be delineated since this will provide a platform upon which the rest of this paper will stand. Though the specific discipline of “verbal aspect” may be unfamiliar to many interpreters it is by no means new.

Verbal aspect is concerned with the ‘viewpoint’ of the author toward a particular event that is represented by a verb.7 Stanley Porter defines verbal aspect as “a synthetic semantic category (realized in the forms of verb) used of meaningful oppositions in a network of tense systems to grammaticalize the author’s reasoned subjective choice of conception of a process.”8 That is to say, aspect is “that category of the verb system by means of which an author (or speaker) shows how he views each event or activity he mentions in relation to its context.”9

The key component in these definitions is viewpoint. Very simply, aspect shows the way the user of the verb subjectively wants to view the action rather than as an objective

---


6 For a historical survey of studies in the Greek verb and how aspectual theory arose to the discussion, see Rodney J. Decker, Temporal Deixis of the Greek Verb in the Gospel of Mark with Reference to Verbal Aspect, Studies in Biblical Greek 10 (New York: Peter Lang, 2001), 5–28.


indication of any certain kind of time or action.\textsuperscript{10} This means that time does \textit{not} play a crucial role in the verbal form itself. The temporal references can be found in the immediate context rather than in the form itself. Porter writes: “This has placed a greater emphasis upon the study of context, including an appreciation of the importance of discourse analysis.”\textsuperscript{11}

Porter proposes one of the key elements involved in aspect is the idea of prominence.\textsuperscript{12} That is to say, each verbal form that the author chooses to use to convey a particular action shows that he chose \textit{not} to use other possible verbal forms in the available network. For Porter, there are three aspects. The Perfect tense is the stative aspect. This is the most heavily marked verbal that forms an opposition with the Present and Aorist verbals. Second, the imperfective aspect includes the Present and Imperfect verbals and has a slight basis of emphasis. Third, the perfective aspect comprises the Aorist tense forms and is the least heavily marked and could be called the “default” tense/aspect.\textsuperscript{13} In Greek, then, the Aorist form is the background tense which carries the discourse while the Present/Imperfect form is the foreground tense which introduces significant characters or makes appropriate climactic references to concrete situations, typical of the foreground tense. And finally, the foreground tense can be seen in the Perfect form which is a discrete and well-defined form.\textsuperscript{14}

Picirilli writes: “though the user did not necessarily go through this process consciously, the choices have increasing significance in this order. The aorist was used when

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{13} Porter, \textit{Verbal Aspect}, 90.
\bibitem{14} Ibid., 92.
\end{thebibliography}
the user sensed no reason to use one of the others and is least significant—the “default”
tense, in a manner of speaking. But when the user chooses one of the non-perfective tenses,
the imperfective aspect has more significance and the stative even more so.”¹⁵

See the following text from Revelation 20:1–15 that provides a color-coded analysis
of the verbal forms and their respective aspectual forms. Then observe FIGURE 1 for the
color codes to see how predominant the perfective aspect is in Revelation 20. FIGURE 2
provides the statistical results as to the percentages of aspectual occurrences in Revelation
20.

McKnight and Grant R. Osborne (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2004), 89–92.
Καὶ εἶδον ἄγγελον καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἐξοντα τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἀβύσσου καὶ ἔλυσεν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ. 2 καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν ὄρακοντα, ὁ ὦφις ὁ ἀρχαῖος, ὃς ἦτοι Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανᾶς, καὶ ἔδωσεν αὐτοῦ χῶλα ἐτής 3 καὶ ἔβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἀβύσσον καὶ ἔκλεισεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, ἵνα μὴ πλημμύρη ἔτη τὰ ἐθνοὶ τῆς τελεσθῆ τὰ χῶλα ἐτή, μετὰ ταῦτα δεί λυθῆναι αὐτῶν μικρῶν χρόνων. 4 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνου καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπὶ αὐτοὺς καὶ κρίμα δόθη αὐτοῖς, καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν πεπελεκισμένων διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν θεοῦ καὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ οὕτως οἱ προσεκύνησαν τὸ θηρίον οὐδὲ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ οὐκ ἔλαβον τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτῶν. καὶ ἔξησαν καὶ ἐβασίλευσαν μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ χῶλα ἐτή. 5 οἱ λουποὶ τῶν νεκρῶν οὐκ ἔξησαν ἀχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χῶλα ἐτή. Αὕτη ἡ ἀνάστασις ἡ πρώτη. 6 μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ ἄγων μέρος ἐν τῇ ἀναστάσει τῇ πρώτῃ ἐπὶ τῶν ὄντων οἱ δεύτεροι θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν, ἀλλ’ ἔσταται ἱερεῖς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ βασιλεύσουσιν μετ’ αὐτοῦ [τά] χῶλα ἐτή. 7 Καὶ ὅταν τελεσθῇ τὰ χῶλα ἐτή, λυθῆναι οἱ σατανᾶς ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐξελεύσεται πλανήσαι τὰ ἐθνοὶ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρεσι γωνίαις τῆς γῆς, τὸν Γόγ καὶ Μαγαγ, συναγαγείν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὅτι ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν ὡς η ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης. 9 καὶ ἀνέβησαν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ ἐκύκλωσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἁγίων καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἑγαμπτημένην, καὶ κατέβη πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κατέφαγεν αὐτοὺς. 10 καὶ ὁ διάβολος ὁ πλανῶν αὐτοῖς ἔβληθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ θείου ὅπου καὶ τὸ θηρίον καὶ ὁ ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ βασανισθήσονται ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτός εἰς τοὺς αἰώνιας τῶν αἰώνων. 11 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνου μέγαν λευκόν καὶ τὸν καθήμενον ἐπ’ αὐτοῦ, οὗ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου ἔφυγεν ἡ γῆ καὶ ὁ οὐρανός καὶ τὸ ποταμὸς οὐχ εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς. 12 καὶ εἶδον τοὺς νεκροὺς, τοὺς μεγάλους καὶ τοὺς μικροὺς, ἑστῶτας ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. καὶ βιβλία ἡμοίχθησαν, καὶ ἀλλ’ βιβλίον ἡμοίχθη, ὁ ἐστὶν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ ἐν τοῖς γεγραμμένοις ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 13 καὶ ἔδωκεν ἡ ἀλάσσα τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτῇ καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄγων ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἐκατός κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 14 καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄγων ἔβληθεν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς. οὗτος ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερος ἔστιν, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρὸς. 15 καὶ εἰ τις οὐχ εὑρέθη εἰς τῇ βίβλῳ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος, ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς.

**Color Code**
Green = Future Form (unaspectual)
Purple = Perfect form (stative aspect)
Red = Aorist form (perfective aspect)
Blue = Present/impf form (imperfective aspect)

**Percentage Results:**
Total verbs 58 verbal forms
Future 5x 11.6%
Stative 5x 11.6%
Perfective 37x 64%
Imperfective 11x 19%
TRANSLATION OF REVELATION 20:1–15

Greek Text:

Καὶ εἶδον ἄγγελον καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἔχοντα τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἄβυσσου καὶ ἀληθινὴν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χειρὰ αὐτοῦ. 2 καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν δράκοντα, ὁ δράκων ἡ ἀρχὴ, ὃς ἐστὶν Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανᾶς, καὶ ἔδειξεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη 3 καὶ ἐβάλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἄβυσσον καὶ ἐκλείσεν καὶ σφοράξεν ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, ἵνα μὴ πλανήσῃ ἐτὰ ἐθνῆ ἄχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη, μετὰ ταῦτα δὲι λυθῆναι αὐτὸν μικρὸν χρόνον.

4 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπὶ αὐτοὺς καὶ κρίμα ἔδόθη αὐτοῖς, καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν πεπελεκισμένων διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ καὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ οἴνοις ὁ προσεκύνησαν τὸ θηρίον οὐδὲ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ οὕκ ἔλαβον τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χειρα αὐτῶν. καὶ ἔζησαν καὶ ἐβασίλευσαν μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη. 5 οἱ λοιποὶ τῶν νεκρῶν οὐκ ἔζησαν ἄχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη. αὕτη ἡ ἀνάστασις ἡ πρώτη. 6 μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ ἔχων μέρος ἐν τῇ ἀνάστασι γενομένῃ ἐπὶ τὸν πλήρη δεύτερον τάγματος τῶν νεκρῶν καὶ ἐκκάθισεν αὐτῷ οὐκ ἔχει ἔξοδον, ἀλλὰ ἔζουν ἕπειρας τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ ἐβασιλεύσαν αὐτῷ [τα] χίλια ἔτη.

7 Καὶ ὅταν τελεσθῇ τὰ χίλια ἔτη, λυθῆται ὁ Σατανᾶς ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐξελέυσεται πλανήτης τὰ ἐθνη ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρις γωνιαῖς τῆς γῆς, τὸν Γῶνη καὶ Μαγών, συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὅταν ᾗν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν ἡ ἁμορρος τῆς θαλάσσης. 9 καὶ ἀνέβησεν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ ἔκυκλευσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἁγίων καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἡγατημένην, καὶ κατέβη πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κατέφαγεν αὐτοὺς. 10 καὶ ὁ διάβολος τὸ πλανῶν αὐτοὺς ἐβλήθη ἐν τῇ λίμνῃ τοῦ πυρός καὶ θείου ὀποῦ καὶ τὸ θηρίον καὶ ὁ ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ βασανισθοῦνται ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτῶν εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

11 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνον μέγαν λευκόν καὶ τὸν καθήμενον ἐπὶ αὐτον, οὗ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου ἔφυγεν ἡ γῆ καὶ ὁ οὐρανὸς καὶ τόπος οὗ εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς. 12 καὶ εἶδον τοὺς νεκροὺς, τοὺς μεγάλους καὶ τοὺς μικροὺς, ἐστάσατο ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. καὶ βιβλία ἕνοιχθησαν καὶ ἀλλο βιβλίον ἕνοιχθη, ὁ ἐστίν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ ἔκριθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ ἐν τοῖς γεγραμμένοις ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 13 καὶ ἐδώκεν ἡ θάλασσα τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτῇ καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ θάνατος ἐδώκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἔκριθησαν ἕκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 14 καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ θάνατος ἐβλήθησαν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός, οὗτος ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερος ἔστην, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός. 15 καὶ εἴ τις οὖν εὑρέθη ἐν τῇ βίβλῳ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος, ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.

Author’s Translation:

1 Then I saw an angel coming down out of heaven having the key of the abyss and a great chain in his hand. 2 And he seized the dragon, the serpent of old, who is the Devil and Satan, and he bound him for a thousand years. 3 And he cast him into the Abyss and he shut and sealed it above him so that he might not deceive the nations any longer until the thousand years are completed. After these things it is necessary for him to be loosed for a short time.

4 Then I saw thrones and they sat upon them and judgment was given to them, and the souls of those who had been beheaded because of the testimony of Jesus and because of the Word of God and those who did not worship the beast nor his image and who did not receive the mark upon their forehead or upon their hand. And they came to life and reigned with
Christ a thousand years. 5 The rest of the dead did not come to live until the thousand years were completed. This is the first resurrection. 6 Blessed and holy is he who has a part in the first resurrection. Over these the second death has no authority, but they will be priests of God and of Christ and they shall reign with him for a thousand years. 7 And when the thousand years are completed, Satan shall be loosed from his prison and he shall go out to deceive the nations who are in the four corners of the earth—Gog and Magog—to gather them for the war; whose number is as the sand of the seashore. 9 And they went up through the breadth of the earth and surrounded the camp of the saints and the beloved city, but fire came down from heaven and devoured them. 10 And the devil who deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone where the beast and the false prophet are, and they shall be tormented day and night forever and ever. 11 Then I saw a great white throne and Him who sat upon it, from whose presence earth and heaven fled and there was not found a place for them. 12 Then I saw the dead—the great and the small—standing before the throne. And books were opened. And another book was opened, which is the Book of Life, and the dead were judged by the things written in the books according to their deeds. 13 And the sea gave up the dead which were in it and death and Hades gave up the dead which were in them, and they were judged—each one—according to their deeds. 14 And death and Hades were cast into the Lake of Fire. This is the second death—the Lake of Fire. 15 And if anyone was not found written in the Book of Life, he was cast into the Lake of Fire.

DIAGRAM OF REVELATION 20:1–15

Introduction

An invaluable step early in the hermeneutical process is recognizing that the biblical author develops an argument and pursues a line of thought in connecting words, phrases, clauses, and sentences together. The exegetical process becomes easier when the interpreter diagrams the flow of the author’s argument in the particular pericope. “The interpreter who endeavors to do [diagramming] will undoubtedly acquire more confidence in doing exegesis, knowing that he or she can piece together the structure of a passage and explain that structure to others.” 1 So important is exegetical diagramming that Guthrie and Duvall surmise “grammatical diagramming can serve as a primary tool for clarifying the relationships.

---

between words and groups of words in the New Testament.” The following example shows the diagrammatical analysis of Revelation chapter 20.

**Revelation 20:1-15 Diagrammatical Analysis**

1. Καὶ εἶδον ἄγγελον καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἔχοντα τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἀβύσσου καὶ ἀλυσιν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ.

2. καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν δράκοντα, ὁ δὲ ὁ ἄρχαῖος, ὁς ἐστιν Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανάς, καὶ ἔδησεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη.

3. καὶ ἐβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἀβυσσον καὶ ἐκλεῖσεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν ἑνα μη πλανήσῃ ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, ἐτι τὰ ἔθνη ἀχρὶ τελεσθή τὰ χίλια ἔτη.

μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ λυθήναι αὐτὸν μικρὸν χρόνον.

4. Καὶ εἶδον θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπ’ αὐτοὺς καὶ κρίμα ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς, καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν πεπελεκισμένων διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ καὶ

---


διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ
οἵτινες οὐ προσεκύνησαν τὸ θηρίον
οὐδὲ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ
οὐκ ἔλαβον τὸ χάραγμα
ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ
ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτῶν.
καὶ ἔζησαν καὶ
ἐβασίλευσαν μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη.

5 οἱ λοιποὶ
tῶν νεκρῶν
οὐκ ἔζησαν ἀχρὶ τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη.
αὕτη ἡ ἀνάστασις ἡ πρώτη.

6 μακάριος καὶ
ἀγιος ὁ ἔχων
μέρος ἐν τῇ ἀναστάσει τῇ πρώτῃ
ἐπὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος
οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν,
ἀλλ’ ἔσονται ιερεῖς
tοῦ θεοῦ καὶ
tοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ
βασιλεύσουσιν μετ’ αὐτοῦ
tελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη.

7 Καὶ ὅταν
λυθήσεται
ὁ Σατανᾶς
ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ

8 καὶ
ἐξελεύσεται
πλανήσαι τὰ ἔθνη
tὰ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρις γωνίαις τῆς γῆς,
τὸν Γώγ καὶ Μαγώγ,
συναγαγείν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον,
ἐν τὸν ἄριθμόν αὐτῶν
ὡς ἢ ἐμος τῆς θαλάσσης.

9 καὶ ἀνέβησαν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ
ekύκλευσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἄγιων καὶ
tὴν πόλιν τὴν ἡγασπημένην,
καὶ κατέβη
pūr ἐκ τοῦ θυρανου καὶ
κατέφαγεν αὐτοὺς.

10 καὶ
ὁ διαβόλος
ὁ πλανῶν αὐτοὺς
ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς
καὶ
θείου

ὅπου καὶ
tὸ θηρίον καὶ
ὁ ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ
βασανισθήσονται ἡμέρας καὶ
νυκτὸς εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰῶνων.

11 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνον
μέγαν
λευκὸν καὶ
tὸν καθήμενον ἐπ' αὐτὸν,
οὐ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου

ἐφυγεν
ἠ γῆ καὶ
ὁ οὐρανὸς καὶ
tόπος ὅν εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς.

12 καὶ εἶδον τοὺς νεκροὺς,
tοὺς μεγάλους καὶ
tοὺς μικροὺς,
ἐστώτας ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου.
καὶ βιβλία ἤνοίχθησαν, καὶ

ἄλλο
βιβλίον ἤνοίχθη,
ὁ ἐστὶν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ
ἐκρίθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ

ἐκ τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις
cατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.

13 καὶ ἔδωκεν ἡ θάλασσα
tοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτή καὶ
ὁ θάνατος καὶ
ὁ ἥδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς,
cαὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἐκαστὸς κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.

14 καὶ
ὁ θάνατος
καὶ
ό ἄδης ἐβλήθησαν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.
οὗτος
ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερος ἐστιν,
ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός.

καὶ εἴ
tις οὖν εὑρέθη ἐν τῷ βίβλῳ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμένος,
ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.
IDENTIFICATION OF THE ROLES OF THE CONSTITUENTS IN REVELATION 20:1–15

Introduction

Part of the responsibility of every exegete may be found in Paul’s reminder to Timothy that πᾶσα γραφή θεόπνευστος (2 Tim 3:16). Even Solomon recognized that every word of God is found tried and true: מִלּוֹת יְהוָה אֵלָיו (Prov 30:5). For this reason, the NT interpreter should carefully observe each constituent element¹ in each verse and identify what kind of clause it is. This will aid the interpreter in finding the flow of the text and the various breaks throughout the text serving as discourse breakers.

Identification of the Constituents

This portion of the study will identify all of the constituents in Revelation 20:1–15 and seek to divide and organize the verses accordingly so one can easily see the structure through a cursory skimming of the eyes. Thus, here is the identification² of the roles of the constituents in Revelation 20:1–15.

1 Then I saw an angel coming down out of heaven having the key of the abyss and a great chain in his hand.

2 And he seized the dragon, the serpent of old, who is the Devil and Satan, and he bound him for a thousand years

3 And he cast him into the Abyss and he shut and sealed it above him so that he might not deceive the nations any longer until the thousand years are completed.

After these things it is necessary for him to be loosed for a short time.


² For a helpful list of semantic functions used in identifying constituents in the NT, see Guthrie and Duvall, Biblical Greek Exegesis, 43–44.
Then I saw thrones and they sat upon them and judgment was given to them, and the souls of those who had been beheaded because of the testimony of Jesus and because of the Word of God and those who did not worship the beast nor his image and who did not receive the mark upon their forehead or upon their hand. And they came to life and reigned with Christ a thousand years. The rest of the dead did not come to live until the thousand years were completed. This is the first resurrection.

Blessed and holy is he who has a part in the first resurrection. Over these the second death has no authority, but they will be priests of God and of Christ and they shall reign with him for a thousand years. And when the thousand years are completed, Satan shall be loosed from his prison and he shall go out to deceive the nations who are in the four corners of the earth—Gog and Magog—to gather them for the war; whose number is as the sand of the seashore. And they went up through the breadth of the earth and surrounded the camp of the saints and the beloved city, but fire came down from heaven and devoured them. And the devil who deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone where the beast and the false prophet are, and they shall be tormented day and night forever and ever. Then I saw a great white throne
and Him who sat upon it, from whose presence earth and heaven fled and there was not found a place for them.

12 Then I saw the dead—the great and the small—standing before the throne. And books were opened. And another book was opened, which is the Book of Life, and the dead were judged by the things written in the books according to their deeds.

13 And the sea gave up the dead which were in it and death and Hades gave up the dead which were in them, and they were judged—each one—according to their deeds.

14 And death and Hades were cast into the Lake of Fire. This is the second death—the Lake of Fire.

15 And if anyone was not found written in the Book of Life, he was cast into the Lake of Fire.

From the preceding analysis of the constituents, the interpreter recognizes how many proposition, addition, sequence constituent clauses that closely link this chapter together in a cohesive whole. It is clearly a narrative-type discourse as John recounts the vision in Revelation 20.

Furthermore, there are a number of condition, result, and temporal constituent clauses intermingled throughout the chapter.
DISCOURSE ANALYSIS OF REVELATION 20:1–15

Definition of Discourse Analysis

Communication takes place when words, ideas, clauses, sentences, and paragraphs are all conjoined together to form a discourse. Cotterell and Turner have recognized this:

It is becoming increasingly clear that all discourse is carefully structured so as to ensure some measure of development through the discourse. To put it at the most elementary level, discourse has a beginning, a middle and an end, and the beginning could not be confused with the end; the parts could not randomly be interchanged and still leave recognizable discourse.”¹

Indeed, any conversation, book, discourse, or means of communication has a sort of “discourse structure” to it. At the risk of oversimplification, this is what discourse analysis² is. The starting point of discourse analysis resides in the supposition that “language is not used in isolated words or even sentence, but occurs in larger units called discourses.”³ That is to say, “in discourse we have sequences, words which are grammatically related and semantically connected, and this grammatical and semantic relatedness extends across sentence and paragraph boundaries to embrace the entire discourse.”⁴

Discourse analysis (also called “textlinguistics”) comprises three fundamental tenets:

(1) it is concerned on larger units of language such as paragraphs, sections, and entire texts


⁴ Cotterell and Turner, Linguistics, 247. Erickson writes: “I can scarcely exaggerate the importance of grasping this exegetical principle: the meaning of a text is in large measure determined by its internal structure and by its place within the structure of its broader context” (Richard J. Erickson, A Beginner’s Guide to New Testament Exegesis: Taking the Fear out of Critical Method [Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2005], 71).
(‘macrostructures’); (2) it is concerned with a text’s cohesion and coherence. Cohesion is a syntactic category and refers to the means of linking sentences into larger syntactical units. Coherence, on the other hand, is a semantic dimension of meaning and refers to the various ways in which readers make sense of a text; and (3) it takes into account all the relevant situational features that shape it, such as the place of writing, occasion, and readers’ circumstances.5

That meaning is found in the relationship of words to sentences which are related to entire paragraphs that are inextricably linked to the complete discourse is a fundamental component of discourse analysis. Thus, as Porter concurs: “the micro-structures are the smaller units (such as words, phrases, clauses, sentences and even pericopes and paragraphs) which make up macro-structures. The macro-structures are the units of discourse which convey the large thematic ideas which help govern the interpretation of the micro-structures.”6 In a word, discourse analysis endeavors to answer the question: “how do sentences cluster together to form paragraphs, paragraphs to form larger sections, and so on?”7 One major caveat must be said and that is that this idea of discourse analysis ought not proffer a way for the exegete to “read into” a text.8 The interpreter must always adhere to the text of Scripture and the discourse in front of him and not go beyond that text. Principles of

---


6 Porter, Idioms, 300.


8 J. P. Louw, “Reading a Text as Discourse,” in Linguistics and New Testament Interpretation: Essays on Discourse Analysis, ed. by David Alan Black (Nashville: Broadman Press, 1992), 19. Later he writes: “discourse analysis should never be an aid to overinterpreting a passage. It is rather a type of translation. Its real value lies in lettering the reader see the communication in its full extent—not merely verse by verse—so that the subsequent detail comments may not violate the overall message (21).
communication make up an essential core of discourse analysis. The roles of the speaker and listener (or, writer and reader) are closely connected in this discipline. In a well-spoken word, Brown and Yule summarize:

We shall consider words, phrases and sentences which appear in the textual record of a discourse to be evidence of an attempt by a producer (speaker / writer) to communicate his message to a recipient (hearer / reader). We shall be particularly interested in discussing how a recipient might come to comprehend the producer’s intended message on a particular occasion, and how the requirements of the particular recipient(s), in definable circumstances, influence the organization of the producer’s discourse. This is clearly an approach which takes the communicative function of language as its primary area of investigation and consequently seeks to describe linguistic form, not as a static object, but as a dynamic means of expressing intended meaning.⁹

*Discourse Analysis of Revelation 20:1–15*

Scholars have scarcely agreed on a structure for the book of Revelation.¹⁰ G. K. Beale notes that “there is radical disagreement about the literary outline of Revelation 17–22.”¹¹ Though it lies beyond the purview of this paper to examine the structure of the entire book of Revelation, hopefully this section sheds some light on the discourse features and markers in Revelation 20 that connect it with the rest of the book to see its cohesion and coherence.

---


The first marker the student who examines the Apocalypse with scrutiny notices is that the phrase καὶ εἰδὼν occurs 32 times in the book. Revelation 20:1 begins with this phrase καὶ εἰδὼν which ties it together with the rest of the book both before and after chapter 20.\footnote{It is beyond the purpose of this paper to examine whether the phrase καὶ εἰδὼν marks chronological succession of events or simply a discourse marker. For a helpful discussion, see Grant R. Osborne, Revelation, BECNT (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2002), 29.} Καὶ εἰδὼν occurs in 20:1, 4, 11, and 12 and could function as a literary discourse marker functioning as a way to divide the text.

Another phrase all commentators recognize as prominent in this section is χίλια έτη which occurs 6 times (20:2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). Refusing to delve into all the theological ramifications as to whether one should take this phrase as a literal thousand years or not, the point here is that the phrase occurs six times in these verses which seem to rhetorically function as a prominent feature in this apocalyptic episode.

John incorporates the phrase μετὰ ταῦτα a number of times in the Apocalypse to reveal succession in events (e.g., 4:1; 7:9; 15:5; 18:1; 19:1). The phrase also occurs in 20:3. This could also be seen as a structural device for analyzing and dividing the book. If one would incorporate this phrase as a structural component in the book it could help divide the book into various sections and subsections (e.g., chs. 1–3, 4–18, 19–22).

Furthermore, in 20:6 John writes: μακάριος καὶ ἥγιος ὁ ἔχων μέρος ἐν τῇ ἀναστάσει τῇ πρῶτῃ. The μακάριος (“blessed one”) finds its way in John’s Revelation numerous times (see, e.g., 1:3; 14:13; 16:15; 19:9; 22:7, and 14). In 20:6 the blessing is upon the individuals who have a part in the first resurrection who have believed in Christ. These will play a part during the millennial kingdom and reign with Christ for 1,000 years (20:4). The Apocalypse
pronounces a μακάριος upon the one who hears, reads, and obeys the words contained in it (cf. 1:3; 22:7).

John’s theology that eternity exists forever and ever without end can easily be found with his oft repeated phrase εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων which occurs 12 times (1:6, 18; 4:9; 4:10; 5:13; 7:12; 10:6; 11:15; 15:7; 19:3; and 22:5). This phrase occurs in 20:10 when John refers to the Devil who deceived the nations when he will be cast into the Lake of Fire with the wicked where they will be tormented day and night εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

More notably, in this specific context John utilizes the phrase τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς as a sort of inclusio structure which links chapter 20 together in the same thought with this identical “bookend” (19:20; 20:15; cp. 20:10, 14). In 19:20 at the second coming of Jesus Christ to earth, the beast and the false prophet are thrown into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς. In 20:10, the devil is cast into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς and at the Great White Throne judgment everyone whose name is not found in the Book of Life is cast into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς (20:15). Rhetorically, John drives home the horrific reality that the eternal Lake of Fire awaits the devil, his angels, his messengers, and all unbelievers whose names are not found in the Book of Life.

Shifting to the syntax one recognizes that the chapter predominates with perfective aspect forms (Aorist, 64%). The imperfective aspect forms are much less frequent and heighten the readers awareness to the respective elements discussed (Present/Imperfect, 19%). John brings only a couple of elements to the foreground as he heightens the markedness with the stative aspect (Perfect, 12%).

---

The insights gleaned from verbal aspect, constituent analysis, diagrammatical analysis and discourse analysis reveal helpful insights into John’s purpose, point, and peak of this section and the role it plays in the Apocalypse at large. The interpreter should not resort to opting out of studying this crucial book in the NT by simply saying “it will all pan out in the end.” Undoubtedly, Revelation is a hard book, but it was never intended to be a closed book (cf. 22:10). Here are some of the beneficial truths from Revelation 20 briefly summarized.

The structural marking phrase καὶ εἰδον links 20:1 with the preceding paragraphs where the same phrase occurs (19:11, 17, and 19). Not only does it link anaphorically with what precedes but it also links cataphorically with what follows as καὶ εἰδον occurs in 20:4, 11 and 21:1. Chapter 20 obviously reveals the details that a period of χίλια εἴη will come to pass on the earth before a final judgment when all whose names are not found written in the Book of Life are cast into the Lake of Fire (20:14, 15). One wonders, from a literary perspective, how many times an author would have to repeat a phrase if he intended it to be understood in its plain and normal fashion.

Employing verbal aspect as a way of looking at the verbs and seeing how John wants to portray the various events reveals the following truths. The perfective aspect (Aorist verbal forms) obviously carries the narrative as John sees and writes his visions down. The perfective aspect here serves as the background tense which carries the narrative along. It could be termed the “default” verb form with no heightened prominence attached to the particular action.
There are, however, a number of imperfect verbs that bring a bit more emphasis upon the action as John wants to portray it. The imperfective shows the action as if one were observing it going on in progress. The heightened emphasis, however, lands upon the few stative forms John incorporates in his narrative. There are only five statives in this chapter and John focuses the spotlight upon these forms by bringing them to the foreground. In 20:4 John writes that he sees those in heaven who have been πεπελεκτομένων because of the testimony of Christ and because of the Word of God worshipping the Lord Jesus. The final war of all time contains the second stative form here as John emphatically reveals that Satan, upon being released from his prison after the thousand year millennium, will gather his minions to surround the τὴν πόλιν ἡγεμόνων and attempt one final time to overcome Jesus Christ (20:9). Third, as John conveys the vision of the Great White Throne judgment he sees all peoples who have refused Jesus Christ—the great and the small—ἐκστωματικά before the throne of God (20:12). The prominence here reveals that no one can flee since there is no where to hide. All are gathered; all are standing; all await their final sentence. The final two stative forms give prominence to the reality that those at this final judgment will be judged according to their deeds which have been τῶν γεγραμμένων in the books (20:12) and those whose names are not found γεγραμμένος in the Lamb’s Book of Life shall be thrown into the Lake of Fire (20:15).

Conclusion

In applying these particular hermeneutical sciences to Revelation 20 this paper has shown that the exegetical disciplines such as verbal aspect, discourse analysis, constituent structure, and diagrammatical analysis greatly enhance one’s study of the NT text. Utilizing verbal aspect to the text of Revelation 20 serves as a successful test case in showing John’s
emphasis by the way that he chooses to express the various verbal actions (the few stative aspect forms are significant). The constituent structure reveals the cohesive flow of John’s thought as he shows progression and sequence of action as he recounts his vision. Then, diagrammatical analysis of the Greek text proves helpful as it reveals the structural elements in the discourse and how the phrases are constructed in the text to highlight subordinate and parallel thoughts. Finally, the discipline of discourse analysis reveals the cohesiveness of Revelation 20 with the rest of the book of Revelation and the immediate context. Though Revelation 20 proves to be one of the most hotly debated passages in the Bible regarding eschatology, the thrust of John’s argument and the heightened factors that he intends to highlight can be marked in applying these various exegetical tools and sciences to the passage.
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